

Bard's Corrected and Revised Objections and Counter-Designations to AngioDynamics' 9/13/2022 Affirmative Designations					
Name of Deponent	Date of Testimony	AngioDynamics' Affirmative Designations	Bard's Objections to Affirmative Designations	Bard's Counter-Designations	Court's Ruling
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	198:1 – 198:13			
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	354:20-355:13		355:14-21	
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	357:17-357:22	Vague, lacks foundation		Overruled
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	358:2-358:3			
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	358:5-358:9			
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	358:12-358:17			
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	358:19-359:9			
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	359:10-359:15	Cumulative; asked and answered.		Overruled
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	359:18-360:3	Cumulative; asked and answered.		Overruled
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	360:5-360:20	Cumulative		Sustained
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	360:21-361:3	Cumulative		Sustained
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	361:6-361:9	Cumulative		Sustained
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	361:11-361:15	Leading; misleading the jury		Sustained
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	361:17-361:20			
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	361:22-362:5			
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	362:7-362:7	Leading; misleading the jury		Sustained (remove question above as well)
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	362:9-363:19	Objection to 362:9-20 - Leading; misleading the jury		Sustained
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	363:20-364:19			
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	364:20-364:21			
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	365:2-365:16			
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	365:18-365:21	Foundation		Overruled
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	366:2-366:2	Foundation		Overruled
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	366:4-366:6	Foundation		Overruled
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	366:10-366:15	Foundation		Overruled
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	366:20-367:18			
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	367:19-368:11	Foundation; hearsay		Sustained
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	368:12-368:21	Foundation; hearsay		Sustained
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	369:2-369:4			
Ingold, Jack	May 17, 2022	369:6-369:9	Foundation; hearsay		Sustained
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	4:19 -4:21			
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	12:3 -12:23			

Name of Deponent	Date of Testimony	AngioDynamics' Affirmative Designations	Bard's Objections to Affirmative Designations	Bard's Counter-Designations	Court's Ruling
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	45:15 -46:11	Bard Obj. to P-217, 401/402 - Relevance (AngioDynamics argues relevant to product differentiation and motive; no evidence that any product differentiation based on coatings were relevant to Bard's motivation for its TLS policy), 801/802 - Hearsay, 611 (foundation)		Overruled
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	47:22 -47:25	Bard Obj. to P-217, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 47:22 -47:25, 48:2 -48:7, 48:10 -48:11, 401/402 Relevance (AngioDynamics argues relevant to product differentiation and motive; no evidence that any product differentiation based on coatings were relevant to Bard's motivation for its TLS policy), 611 (lacks foundation), 801/802 (hearsay)	189:14-189:25, 190:3-190:16, 190:18-190:25, 191:2-191:6, 191:8-191:10	Sustained (hearsay)
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	48:2 -48:7	Bard Obj. to P-217, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 47:22 -47:25, 48:2 -48:7, 48:10 -48:11, 401/402 Relevance (AngioDynamics argues relevant to product differentiation and motive; no evidence that any product differentiation based on coatings were relevant to Bard's motivation for its TLS policy), 611 (lacks foundation), 801/802 (hearsay)	189:14-189:25, 190:3-190:16, 190:18-190:25, 191:2-191:6, 191:8-191:10	Overruled
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	48:10 -48:11	Bard Obj. to P-217, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 47:22 -47:25, 48:2 -48:7, 48:10 -48:11, 401/402 Relevance (AngioDynamics argues relevant to product differentiation and motive; no evidence that any product differentiation based on coatings were relevant to Bard's motivation for its TLS policy), 611 (lacks foundation), 801/802 (hearsay)	189:14-189:25, 190:3-190:16, 190:18-190:25, 191:2-191:6, 191:8-191:10	Overruled
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	49:3 -49:7	Bard Obj. to P-217, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm 49:3-7 - 401/402 - Relevance (AngioDynamics argues relevant to product differentiation and motive; no evidence that any product differentiation based on coatings were relevant to Bard's motivation for its TLS policy), 403 (prejudice, confusion)	49:23-49:24, 50:2-50:11	Sustained

Name of Deponent	Date of Testimony	AngioDynamics' Affirmative Designations	Bard's Objections to Affirmative Designations	Bard's Counter-Designations	Court's Ruling
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	50:12 -50:25	Bard Obj. to P-217, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 50:12-51:2, 401/402 - Relevance (AngioDynamics argues relevant to product differentiation and motive; no evidence that any product differentiation based on coatings were relevant to Bard's motivation for its TLS policy), 403 (prejudice, confusion), 611 (lacks foundation)		Overruled
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	51:2 -51:15	Bard Obj. to P-217, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 51:3-15, 401/402 - Relevance (AngioDynamics argues relevant to product differentiation and motive; no evidence that any product differentiation based on coatings were relevant to Bard's motivation for its TLS policy), 403 (prejudice, confusion)		Overruled
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	53:8 -53:25	Bard Obj. to P-217, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 53:8 -53:25, 54:2 -54:13, 401/402 - Relevance (AngioDynamics argues relevant to product differentiation and motive; no evidence that any product differentiation based on coatings were relevant to Bard's motivation for its TLS policy), 403 (prejudice, confusion)	54:14-54:15, 54:17-54:17	Overruled
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	54:2 -54:13	Bard Obj. to P-217, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 53:8 -53:25, 54:2 -54:13, 401/402 - Relevance (AngioDynamics argues relevant to product differentiation and motive; no evidence that any product differentiation based on coatings were relevant to Bard's motivation for its TLS policy), 403 (prejudice, confusion)		Overruled
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	54:18 -54:25	Bard Obj. to P-217, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 54:18 -54:25, 55:2 -55:13, 401/402 - Relevance (AngioDynamics argues relevant to product differentiation and motive; no evidence that any product differentiation based on coatings were relevant to Bard's motivation for its TLS policy), 403 (prejudice, confusion), 611 (lacks foundation)		Overruled

Name of Deponent	Date of Testimony	AngioDynamics' Affirmative Designations	Bard's Objections to Affirmative Designations	Bard's Counter-Designations	Court's Ruling
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	55:2 -55:13	Bard Obj. to P-217, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 54:18 -54:25, 55:2 -55:13, 401/402 - Relevance (AngioDynamics argues relevant to product differentiation and motive; no evidence that any product differentiation based on coatings were relevant to Bard's motivation for its TLS policy), 403 (prejudice, confusion), 611 (lacks foundation)		Overruled
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	56:16 -56:25	Bard Obj. to P-117, 401/402 - Relevance, 403 - Confusing/Prejudicial		Overruled
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	57:2 -57:25	Bard Obj. to P-117, 401/402 - Relevance, 403 - Confusing/Prejudicial		Overruled
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	58:2 -58:11	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 58:2-11, 401/402 - Relevance, 403 (prejudice, confusion), 611 (vague)		Sustained
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	58:12 -58:25	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 58:12 -58:25, 59:2 -59:4, 401/402 - Relevance, 403 (prejudice, confusion), 602 (speculation), 611 (compound, vague)		Sustained
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	59:2 -59:4	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 58:12 -58:25, 59:2 -59:4, 401/402 - Relevance, 403 (prejudice, confusion), 602 (speculation), 611 (compound, vague)		Sustained
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	59:5 -59:10	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 59:5-10, 401/402 - Relevance, 403 (prejudice, confusion), 611 (vague, lacks foundation)		Sustained
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	59:11 -59:12	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 59:11-59:12, 59:14 -59:20, 59:24 -59:25, 60:2 -60:8, 60:23 -60:25, 61:3 -61:17, 401/402 - Relevance, 403 (prejudice), 611 (vague, lacks foundation)		Sustained
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	59:14 -59:20	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 59:11-59:12, 59:14 -59:20, 59:24 -59:25, 60:2 -60:8, 60:23 -60:25, 61:3 -61:17, 401/402 - Relevance, 403 (prejudice), 611 (vague, lacks foundation)		Sustained


Name of Deponent	Date of Testimony	AngioDynamics' Affirmative Designations	Bard's Objections to Affirmative Designations	Bard's Counter-Designations	Court's Ruling
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	59:24 -59:25	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 59:11-59:12, 59:14 -59:20, 59:24 -59:25, 60:2 -60:8, 60:23 -60:25, 61:3 -61:17, 401/402 -Relevance, 403 (prejudice), 611 (vague, lacks foundation)		Sustained
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	60:2 -60:8	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 59:11-59:12, 59:14 -59:20, 59:24 -59:25, 60:2 -60:8, 60:23 -60:25, 61:3 -61:17, 401/402 -Relevance, 403 (prejudice), 611 (vague, lacks foundation)		Sustained
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	60:23 -60:25	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 59:11-59:12, 59:14 -59:20, 59:24 -59:25, 60:2 -60:8, 60:23 -60:25, 61:3 -61:17, 401/402 -Relevance, 403 (prejudice), 611 (vague, lacks foundation)		Sustained
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	61:3 -61:17	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 59:11-59:12, 59:14 -59:20, 59:24 -59:25, 60:2 -60:8, 60:23 -60:25, 61:3 -61:17, 401/402 -Relevance, 403 (prejudice), 611 (vague, lacks foundation)		Sustained
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	61:18 -62:5	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 61:18-62:5, 401/402 (relevance), 403 (prejudice, confusion)		Sustained
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	62:6 -62:17	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 62:6-17, 401/402 (relevance), 403 (prejudice, confusion)		Overruled
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	63:6 -63:14	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 63:6-63:14, 401/402 (relevance), 403 (prejudice, confusion), 602 (misstates prior testimony)		Sustained
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	63:15 -63:25	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 63:15 -63:25, 64:2 -64:3, 401/402 (relevance), 403 (prejudice, confusion)		Sustained
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	64:2 -64:3	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 63:15 -63:25, 64:2 -64:3, 401/402 (relevance), 403 (prejudice, confusion)		Sustained

Name of Deponent	Date of Testimony	AngioDynamics' Affirmative Designations	Bard's Objections to Affirmative Designations	Bard's Counter-Designations	Court's Ruling
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	65:3 -65:15	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 63:15 -63:25, 64:2 -64:3, 401/402 (relevance), 403 (prejudice, confusion)		Overruled
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	72:9 -72:12	Bard Obj. to P-117, Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 63:15 -63:25, 64:2 -64:3, 401/402 (relevance), 403 (prejudice, confusion), 602 (speculation)		Overruled
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	72:13 -72:21	Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 72:13-73:10, 72:23 -73:3, 403 (prejudice, confusion), 602 (speculation)		Sustained
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	72:23 -73:3	Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 72:13-73:10, 72:23 -73:3, 403 (prejudice, confusion), 602 (speculation)		Sustained
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	150:13 -150:16	Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 150:13-150:16, 150:18-150:25, 151:2-151:17, 401/402 (relevance), 602 (speculation, assumes facts not in evidence), 611 (lacks foundation)		Overruled
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	150:18 -150:25	Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 150:13-150:16, 150:18-150:25, 151:2-151:17, 401/402 (relevance), 602 (speculation, assumes facts not in evidence), 611 (lacks foundation)	150:4-150:12	Overruled
Kokotis, Kathy	January 10, 2020	151:2 -151:17	Bard Obj. to Angio Affirm. 150:13-150:16, 150:18-150:25, 151:2-151:17, 401/402 (relevance), 602 (speculation, assumes facts not in evidence), 611 (lacks foundation)	150:4-150:12	Overruled
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	5:3-5:5			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	25:2-25:12			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	52:12-52:14			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	52:16-52:25			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	53:2-53:14			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	60:15-60:19	misstates testimony	59:25-60:14	Overruled
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	60:21-61:2			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	101:11-102:14	misstates testimony, assumes facts	101:7-9, 102:15-19, 102:22	Overruled, but incomplete designation (missing question or should start on line 12)

Name of Deponent	Date of Testimony	AngioDynamics' Affirmative Designations	Bard's Objections to Affirmative Designations	Bard's Counter-Designations	Court's Ruling
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	103:24-104:13			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	107:16-109:13		109:20-22, 109:24-110:2	
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	122:23-123:10	testimony discusses exhibit not introduced in deposition designations		Sustained
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	125:15-125:21		125:22-25	
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	126:4-126:14			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	126:18-127:5	misstates document		Overruled
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	127:7-127:7	misstates document		Overruled
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	129:9-129:16			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	132:17-133:7			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	133:9-134:9			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	140:20-140:24	speculation, improper hypothetical		Sustained
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	141:3-141:14	speculation, improper hypothetical		Sustained
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	142:2-142:9			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	153:15-154:7			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	156:10-156:14			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	163:3-163:10	vague, misstates testimony		Overruled
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	167:6-167:16	vague, misstates testimony		Overruled
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	200:22-201:10	vague, misstates testimony		Overruled
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	201:13-201:16			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	201:18-201:23			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	202:8-202:15			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	215:4-215:7			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	217:17-217:19			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	220:7-220:10	speculation		Sustained
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	220:13-220:17	speculation		Sustained as to 220:13
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	220:19-220:19	speculation		Overruled
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	223:9-223:13	P-223 - 801/802 - Hearsay (e.g., page 3-4, "Spent about 45 minutes on the phone with Mark on Wednesday..."; page 2, "As I recalle there was an 'Allegation' of an air embolism..."; page 1, "Anectodately, we are told..."401/402 - Relevance; 403 - Waste of Tlme/Confusion		Overruled
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	228:4-228:12	speculation, misstates document		Sustained as to 228:8-12
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	230:11-231:6			

Name of Deponent	Date of Testimony	AngioDynamics' Affirmative Designations	Bard's Objections to Affirmative Designations	Bard's Counter-Designations	Court's Ruling
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	232:2-232:14	misstates document, misstates testimony		Overruled
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	237:21-237:25	speculation	237:6-20, 238:2-17	Overruled
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	239:6-239:10	speculation		Overruled
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	239:14-239:18			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	245:25-246:22			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	255:13-255:17			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	255:19-255:20			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	256:15-256:17			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	258:13-258:20			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	258:22-258:23			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	259:5-259:6			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	259:9-259:25			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	263:6-263:21	speculation		Overruled
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	269:9-270:11		270:12-271:3	
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	273:11-274:12			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	277:6-277:9			
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	281:4-281:17	beyond geographic scope ("all over the world")		Sustained
Patil, Nitin	April 19, 2022	288:13-289:16	403 - prejudicial, 401/402 - irrelevant, violates assurance that designations would be "targeted to elements of AngioDynamics' case" (ECF 356)	287:21-288:6, 289:21-290:3	Sustained

Dated: September 22, 2022


Brenda K. Sannes
Chief U.S. District Judge